For Immediate Press Release

Statement of Harrison E. Livingstone concerning the Boston Globe article by Ben Bradlee, Jr. on June 21, 1981 concerning the assassination of President Kennedy.

I motivated the Globe to investigate evidence concerning the wounds of President Kennedy, ~~and~~ first presenting in 1980 to Timothy Leland testimony I took from a number of the doctors in Dallas in 1979 upon first seeing copies of the autopsy photographs. I assembled extensive evidence indicating the President was shot in the front of his head, causing the back of his head to be blown out. All of the Parkland doctors told the Warren Commission that the back (or occipital) of the head was blown out. This ~~#~~ "large hole in the back of the head" does not show in the autopsy photos.

When these photos were first shown to the doctors and nurses in 1979 by myself and the Baltimore Sun, every single witness denounced them.

In fact, the Globe found only one witness, Dr. Grossman, who said the photos were consistent with the wounds as he recalled them. Dr. Grossman was (?) not present in the ER for more than a moment and could not be a good witness.

The Globe called ~~the~~ Steve Parks at the Eve in 1980, upon their return from Dallas and gave their results to both Steve Parks, and later to me, separately. They stated that two doctors reversed what they told me, ~~by~~ now saying the pictures were consistent. These were Drs. Jenkins and Giesecke. I was unable to reach Jenkins. Giesecke wrote a letter to me and reaffirmed that the large hole extended into the occipital area. Jenkins could not be re

The Globe stated that a very strong witness, Dr. Pa Peters was no longer certain where the big hole was. I called him for several hours of talks. Dr. Pete stated ~~insisted~~ that he insisted to the Globe that the large hole was in the back of the head, he said Bradlee tried to get him to move the wound, and he said

Jones
Perry

he would give them a ~~an~~ "centimeter or a millimeter or two" to the right but that the large hole (as he wrote me) was still in the back of the head, indicating a frontal shot.

~~Both~~ I taped both Drs Jenkins and Giesecke (all of their letters and tapes are in the JFK Library) and their emphatic statements that there was a large hole in the back of the head and that the photos are inaccurate cannot be misinterpreted.

~~Th~~ I outlined to the Globe a plan whereby they could obtain access to the autopsy photographs, which they followed. Instead of showing the photos to the doctors as I asked — they have never been allowed to see them — the photos were shown to a panel of "experts", ~~all~~ most of whom who had been connected to either CBS or ITEK. Robert Groden who was also on the panel and who had detected evidence that the autopsy photos were forged states that the ~~[illegible]~~ archives refused to conduct the tests he believed would have proved the forgery. For a small sum of money and ~~for~~ if he had the time, Groden would like to conduct these tests. Groden stated that he has done work ~~[illegible]~~ in stereo which demonstrates conclusively that forgeries can maintain ~~[illegible]~~ stereoscopic integrity.

From the start my relations with the Globe were terrible and seriously frightening to myself. I found that ~~Ben Bradlee~~ that the reporters I was dealing with lacked honesty and integrity and I attempted to abort the project. They insisted to persons at Harvard that they wanted to go ahead with the investigation, so reluctantly, I agreed. I briefed them and prepared them to go to Dallas, though that nearly cost to me.

While I was preparing the Globe team in the case, they badl mistreated me. I was cursed, abused, and lied to. I felt th that they had other conclusions already and this was why they could mistreat me. For instance when I showed them Dr. Paul Peters' letter which rejected the official autopsy picture and insisted there was a large hole in the back of the head, they didn't just question it, but violently attacked both the letter and me. ~~When the~~

When they latter went to see Dr. Peters, he reported to me that they did all they could to change the position of the wound. It was clear that they had to discredit him because he put it in writing. He resisted.

The Globe then singled out two doctors whom I taped and determined to change their testimony, saying to m and others, in violation of the slander laws - it seems to me that there was nothing on my tapes, that I had falsifie the transcripts, and that I had lied. The SUN, wh has the tapes insisted to the Globe that quite the contrar was true. The Globe then simply falsified what these doct told them.